

TAB 1

Palmetto City Commission
February 4, 2008 4:00 p.m.

Elected Officials Present:

Larry Bustle, Mayor
Eric Ball, Commissioner
Tamara Cornwell, Commissioner
Mary Lancaster, Commissioner
Brian Williams, Commissioner

Elected Official Absent:

Tambra Varnadore, Vice Mayor

Staff and Others Present:

James R. Freeman, City Clerk
Deputy Chief Mike Mayer
Chris Lukowiak, Public Works Director
Tanya Lukowiak, CRA Executive Director
Michele Hall, City Attorney
Diane Ponder, Deputy Clerk-Administration

Mayor Bustle called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m., followed by a moment of silence for overseas military personnel and the Pledge of Allegiance.

1. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mayor Bustle informed Commission item #2, HIV Awareness Update, will be postponed until a later date. Due to the deletion of item #2, Mr. Freeman asked the Commission consider moving the proposed Short Term Occupancy ordinance that is on the 7:00 p.m. agenda as item #8 forward to the 4:00 agenda, as item 4A.

MOTION: Mrs. Lancaster moved, Mr. Ball seconded and motion carried 4-0 to delete item #2 and add item #4A, Short Term Occupancy ordinance.

2. POTENTIAL PURCHASE OF CSX PROPERTY

November 30, 2007 Commission discussed the topic and requested that staff obtain certain information from CSX. A copy of the questions and responses submitted by CSX are attached hereto and made a part of these minutes. Also attached and made a part of these minutes is a map detailing the property under discussion.

Jim Free, representing the CRA, discussed the history of the topic.

- Inquiry to remove unused rail crossings from 5th, 7th, 8th, 10th & 11th Avenues
- Inquiry to purchase two parcels fronting 10th Ave. W. and south of 9th St. W.
- Abandonment of the CSX Parrish Spur was accomplished July 10, 2007
- Track removal was bid by CSX; \$58,000 if the City would pay the expense
- CSX advised the tracks could remain in place (although abandoned) if we did not pay or City could remove the track if the underlying property was purchased
- CSX has offered 3.22 acres to the City at a cost of \$1,680,000 with significant deed restrictions that limit the future use of the property

Mr. Free confirmed approximately 100 feet of rail has been removed west of the Sawdust Joe slab. A visual inspection of the remaining sites by Mr. Free revealed the tracks are generally in place but in disrepair. He also informed Commission it is possible CSX owns the rail rights-of-way for the street crossings of 5th, 7th, 8th, 10th and 11th Avenues; a title company will have to document ownership of the streets and rights-of-way.

Mayor Bustle stated he would like Commission to agree on a set of facts:

- CSX has abandoned, but still owns, a portion of the Parrish Spur.
- CSX is not actively marketing the property.
- The City would like to keep the tracks were they are and not have the tracks removed.

Mayor Bustle discussed the fact that if the City ever wished to use the tracks for an entity such as the model depot or a future transit possibility, it would prevent the expense of having to reinstall tracks.

Commission discussed the topic in detail. Mayor Bustle stated he would like a united decision that the City needs to preserve its options, but also a united decision that now is not the time to purchase the property. Mr. Free was asked to contact CSX to see if there is any intent to market the property and, if so, is there an option for the City to have a right of first refusal. Staff was also instructed to determine the ownership of 9th Street, south of the aluminum business.

4. BUSINESS TAX ORDINANCE

Mr. Freeman informed the major changes to the existing occupational license ordinance is the verbiage changing the title of the license to "local business tax license" and change the date to issue renewals to July 1st of each year rather than September 1st. The schedule of fees is not being changed at this time.

Attorney Hall explained the additional verbiage relating to code enforcement was added because of businesses out of code compliance, and the City's inability to not renew the license; the language now allows the ability to revoke or refusal to renew a license. Attorney Hall also cited section 19-26(3) requires a license for interstate commerce (internet businesses), which also means the City will be able to inspect.

Attorney Hall reaffirmed code enforcement's powers are limited to code enforcement issues, not to enter the house. Mr. Lukowiak stated that inspection would normally occur only when complaints from surrounding neighbors are received. Mr. Freeman confirmed an existing business will not have to complete a new local business tax license application at time of renewal, inspection could happen when the location of the business changes or when there is a code enforcement issue such as property maintenance.

Code Enforcement Director Bill Strollo informed Commission that when inspection of a residential structure is necessary he has the ability to request a warrant. Deputy Chief Mayer also discussed code enforcement's ability to enter a residence behind a fire inspector when an administrative warrant has been issued.

The ordinance will be placed on a future agenda for first reading and advertising approval.

4A SHORT-TERM OCCUPANCY ORDINANCE

Mr. Schmitt explained the code does not currently prohibit the rental of residential units for a period of less than 30 days. The proposed ordinance will identify that rental or occupation of a residential structure for less than 30 days is more of a commercial venture and will be prohibited except in RM-6 categories (because boardinghouses are allowed) and MHP-1 (because rental to travel trailers is allowed).

Commission voiced concerns about the proposed ordinance as written, because it limits the rights of property owners. Examples cited by Commission were the rental of condominiums in large developments. Mr. Schmitt explained that developments in the PDMU category allow

commercial/retail; developments also have deed restrictions that may address these types of rentals.

Commission discussed the possibility of restricting the activity to certain areas. Also discussed was the requirement of conditional use permits as a tool to limit the rentals.

Mr. Lukowiak stated staff will revisit the ordinance and bring it back with additional options.

In other business, Mr. Lukowiak displayed pictures showing the progress of the Jackson Park stormwater project's retention pond. He estimated piping under 17th Street to Carr Drain will begin in approximately 30 days. USA Fence has erected a temporary fence around the pond.

Mayor Bustle informed Commission the City has commissioned a plaque commemorating Fire Chief Mike Johnson's service to the North River Fire District from December 13, 1973 to January 18, 2008. Chief Johnson declined the Mayor's invitation to attend a meeting where the plaque would be presented. He has assumed the duty of chief at the Parrish Fire District.

Meeting adjourned at 5:45 pm.

Minutes approved:

James R. Freeman
City Clerk

City of Palmetto
Questions for CSX
November 30, 2007

1. What does *abandonment* mean to CSX? **Filing with the Surface Transportation Board to cease CSX's common carrier obligation.**
2. What is the normal process that occurs when CSX abandons a length of railroad track? **The initiation of abandonment varies with different projects. Many times an interested buyer (such as the City of Palmetto in this case) will be the reason CSX will study a corridor and file with the Surface Transportation Board for authority to abandon the line and cease its common carrier obligation. Once the STB approves, CSX can then discontinue rail service. As you might know, an abandonment is a complicated legal process that is federally controlled by the Surface Transportation Board (STB). The STB's process and rules governing abandonment can be found on the STB's website:
http://www.stb.dot.gov/stb/public/resources_abandonment.html**
3. When CSX abandons railroad tracks that cross city streets does that ROW revert to the city? If not, does the city have to obtain permission from anyone in order to bore under or otherwise route utilities across that abandoned crossing?
Reversion only occurs if CSX had easement title for railroad operating purposes only. If CSX has fee title, it would not revert. It looks like 10th Avenue was easement title for CSX so if the City owns the street, then the 40ft right of way across 10th Avenue would most likely revert to the City. A title company hired by the City would have to confirm that. However, CSX's signals on 10th Avenue are not included in the sale either. And, CSX will most likely remove those signals on 10th Avenue. Eddie Pollock is to confirm.
4. Must abandoned railroad tracks be removed from city street crossings? **No, they do not need to be removed.** If yes, at whose expense?

5. Who owns the real property under the tracks that have been abandoned? Please describe the rail bed and/or right of way; e.g., how wide is the ROW? **CSX's right of way is approximately 40 ft wide between the western boundary of 8th Avenue and the eastern boundary of 10th Avenue. However, the exact width of the right of way will be obtained when the survey is completed during your due diligence phase. The attached exhibit shows the right of way and out parcels that we own in fee and which are included in the sale area which is shown as the hatched areas. Those areas not hatched cannot be sold since we only had easement title for rail operating purposes. That property which is not hatched looks like it is that portion of the 40ft right of way between the eastern boundary of 10th Ave and the eastern boundary of 11th Ave. That would be property that would revert to either adjacent land owners or former owners, but that would have to be confirmed by your title company. I would think that if the City owns the street on either side of the 40ft rail corridor, then, the 40ft rail corridor, once abandoned, would revert to the City., but, you would have to have a title company confirm that. The rest of the easement 40ft rail corridor runs from the western boundary of 10th Avenue to the eastern boundary of 11th Avenue and that also would revert as well. But, the City, if it wanted that property, could use eminent domain authority to obtain it from the adjacent land owners or whoever it might revert to – former owners, etc.**
- Note there is another triangular hatched parcel which is included in the hatched sale area on the Exhibit located north of the that 40ft right of way beginning about midway between 11th Avenue. All in all, according to our Exhibit attached, we can sell the City 3.22 acres, plus or minus, with the final exact measurement being determined by the survey during the City's due diligence phase.**
6. What happens to real property adjoining tracks abandoned by CSX? **It depends on ownership type. If CSX owned it in fee title, it will be shown on the exhibit as sale area. If CSX did not own it in fee title, it would revert to adjacent land owners or former owners, depending on how the deeds read. This would have to be confirmed by your Title Company. However, once again, if the City wanted that property, it could use its eminent domain power to obtain it.**
7. Does Palmetto have first right of refusal on the tracks and associated ROW abandoned by CSX? **No, there is no right of first refusal on tracks and associated right of way abandoned by CSX.**

8. Referring to the map drawing provided by CSX to portray the segment of track abandoned, what happens to the segment from the “End Abandonment” point on the drawing westward to the end of the track along the north side of the Heartland Fertilizer plant? **We show that property as already being sold and you would have to check court records to determine who the current owner is of that property.**
9. We understand that a segment of track has been removed somewhere between Heartland and the point where the spur branches off from the Ellenton railroad. Do you have information on how much track was removed and specifically where it was removed? **No, that property was sold almost 20 years ago.**
10. Are the rails associated with the abandoned tracks required to be removed by CSX if CRA and/or city choose not to pay for the removal? **No the track does not have to be removed by CSX. The track will be included in the sale of the property.**
11. There is a CSX-provided “Street Atlas USA” map that shows two parcels outlined in black. One of the parcels has an annotation “FL-081-1009432.” Do these two outlined parcels make up the 3.2 acres? **Yes, approximately, but the exact acreage will be determined by the surveyor in your due diligence phase.** If so, what about the tracks, rail bed or property associated with the rail bed? **Once the City buys the property, the City can either remove the track and sell it for scrap value or leave it in place.**
12. What does the city have to do if it wants a portion of the tracks to remain in place and connected to the Ellenton track? **CSX will include the track in the property sale. If the track is not included in the sale area as depicted in the Street Atlas Exhibit, then that track can still be included and sold to the City, since the track is owned by CSX. However, the land under that track which is not included in the Street Atlas Exhibit cannot be included in the sale to the City and the City would have to seek legal advice as to what it can or can’t do with the track if it is sitting on land that is not owned by the City. However, the City does have eminent domain authority and could condemn the land that is not included in the sale area so that the City would have a continuous ownership of the rail corridor. Once again, the City would have to seek legal advice to confirm that.**
13. If CSX removes tracks and rail bed, does the City get a chance to approve the finished product to make sure that all debris is removed and the job is acceptable? **CSX is not going to remove the track.**

14. Are potential environmental issues identified and dealt with by CSX in the track removal process? **Once again, CSX is not going to remove this track. It will be included in the sale area.**

15. Does CSX have any problem with an agency like the Florida Gulf Coast Railroad Museum, or another public or private entity using the tracks for their purposes, such as an excursion from Parrish to Palmetto? **The City will own the track and the land that is included in the exhibit area once it buys the property and works out the other land issues that would not be included in the sale. The City, if it decided to implement an excursion train would have to run that operation on its own, fully independent of any CSXT operations and would have to indemnify CSX against any liability arising from such use.**

16. **The proposed deed restrictions on the 3.2 acres significantly diminish the property value, are they negotiable? The residential deed restrictions are not negotiable. However, there is a method to get the restrictions removed once the City buys the property. To get the residential deed restrictions removed, see the language below which is included in our standard purchase agreement.....:**

In the event that Buyer desires the removal, post-closing, of the deed restrictions contained in Section 6.3 of this Agreement ("Environmental Use Restrictions"), Buyer must: (a) submit the Premises into a voluntary or other cleanup program administered by the Environmental Agency in the state in which the Premises is located; (b) complete remediation of any environmental contamination of the Premises to comply with the most stringent applicable standards for residential use; and (c) receive a formal Closure from the State Environmental Agency stating that the Premises has been remediated to a degree that allows residential use. If Buyer satisfies these conditions, Seller will release the Environmental Use Restrictions, provided that Buyer will protect, indemnify, and hold harmless Seller from and against any and all damages, penalties, fines, claims, demands, causes of action, liens, suits, liabilities, and expenses of every kind and nature suffered by, incurred by or asserted against Seller of Buyer as a direct or indirect result of any hazardous materials, hazardous substances, wastes or other environmentally regulated materials located on, in, at or under the Premises. NOTWITHSTANDING THE FOREGOING, THE GROUND WATER USE RESTRICTION CONTAINED IN THE LAST SENTENCE OF SECTION 6.3 CONTINUE AND SHALL NOT BE REMOVED OF RECORD.

Palmetto City Commission
February 4, 2008 7:00 p.m.

Elected Officials Present:

Larry Bustle, Mayor
Eric Ball, Commissioner
Tamara Cornwell, Commissioner
Mary Lancaster, Commissioner
Brian Williams, Commissioner

Elected Official Absent:

Tambra Varnadore, Vice Mayor

Staff and Others Present:

James R. Freeman, City Clerk
Chief Garry Lowe
Chris Lukowiak, Public Works Director
Tanya Lukowiak, CRA Executive Director
Michele Hall, City Attorney
Diane Ponder, Deputy Clerk-Administration

Mayor Bustle called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m., followed by a moment of silence for overseas military personnel and the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Bustle announced that Ms. Varnadore was absent from the meeting because of illness.

Swearing-in of all persons speaking to Commission.

Public Comment: Carolyn Bartlett-Richman requested that Commission consider the repaving of 10th Avenue between 6th Street and 10th Street West.

1. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mayor Bustle announced that item #8 had been considered at the 4:00 meeting and would be removed from the agenda. Mr. Schmitt requested that items #10 and #11 be removed, as they would be amended. Mr. Ball requested that item #7 be removed until his questions could be fully answered.

MOTION: Mrs. Lancaster moved, Mr. Ball seconded and motion carried 4-0 to approve the February 4, 2008 7:00 pm agenda, with the deletion of items 7, 8, 10 and 11.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

A) Minutes: January 22, 2008
B) January Check Register

MOTION: Mr. Ball moved, Mrs. Lancaster seconded and motion carried 4-0 to approve the February 4, 2008 Consent Agenda.

3. FARMERS MARKET SPECIAL FUNCTION PERMIT

Mr. Lukowiak reported staff had addressed the questions raised by Commission at the previous review of the topic. The Palmetto High School Flea Market school representative has indicated the Farmer's Market should not be an issue for the school's monthly event. Staff is proposing the following as in-kind services for a three-month trial period:

- Waiver of the rental for Riverside Park West for three months (\$220 per day);
- 6-yard roll behind dumpster at no charge (Waste Management does not charge the City to dispose of the debris for these dumpsters);
- Banner display (\$25 per week)

Mr. Kevin Lindbloom confirmed he will bear the expense of an Occupational License. He also stated he will have the necessary insurance in place before the event, once he determines how the business will be registered. Attorney Hall advised that if approved, it should be conditional on the insurance being applicable to the appropriate entity, as the application was signed by Mr. Lindbloom as an individual.

Mr. Williams estimated the expenses for the three-month period to be \$3,000 and stated his belief the amount was high for the City to absorb, as the City does not do this for other new businesses unless the start-up fees are funded by CRA.

Mr. Seger confirmed that the public's access to the park, bridge, and boat ramp will not be hindered. He also confirmed that during his tenure with the City the park has never been rented; the City has never absorbed the cost of displaying a banner for a for-profit business; a for-profit business has never been set up on the message board, parking will not be affected and the protestors will not be affected.

Discussion ensued on the City setting a precedent if it provided the requested waivers. Ms. Cornwell voiced no opposition, except for the waiver of the banner fees. Mr. Ball stated he supported the event, but shared concern regarding the signage and the precedent issue. Ms. Hall opined the City has the right on a case-by-case basis to determine what benefits the public as a whole and it is appropriate for Commission to waive fees once that determination has been made.

Mrs. Lukowiak stated that because the event is to be held in the CRA district, she can bring the topic to the CRA Board for approval to pay the fees, but the CRA Board would then be setting the precedent. Mr. Williams opined it is within the CRA's purview to pay the start-up fees for a business rather than the City paying the fees. He suggested the CRA pay the City the estimated expenses. Mrs. Lukowiak stated there are no expenses to be paid, as the support being requested are in-kind services. Mayor Bustle stated the issue is not a business being started, but a City function that will bring people to the downtown area.

MOTION: Mr. Ball moved and Mrs. Lancaster seconded to approve the Farmer's Market Special Function Permit. Mr. Ball and Mrs. Lancaster voted yes; Ms. Cornwell and Mr. Williams voted no. Motion failed.

Mrs. Lukowiak stated she would ask the CRA Board for the sponsorship next Wednesday. Mr. Williams again opined it is appropriate for the CRA to fund the expenses. Attorney Hall suggested that if the event would have been approved without the fees, a motion to reconsider could be made and approval granted upon the consideration the CRA pay the fees.

MOTION: Ms. Cornwell moved, Mr. Ball seconded and motion carried 4-0 to reconsider the Farmer's Market Special Function Permit.

MOTION: Ms. Cornwell moved, Mrs. Lancaster seconded and motion carried 4-0 to approve the Lindbloom Farmer's Market Special Function Permit upon approval by the CRA Board to fund all of the fees.

4. AMENDED AND RESTATED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING

Mr. Schmitt informed Commission this is the final draft of the agreement that has been previously reviewed and commented on by Commission. The major concerns Commission voiced in Section 9 of the agreement have been negotiated and amended to the fullest extent possible.

MOTION: Ms. Cornwell moved, Mrs. Lancaster seconded to approve and authorize the Mayor to execute the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning.

Discussion: Referring to the verbiage relating to school site selection and the City's recourse, Attorney Hall informed Commission that elsewhere in the document, a provision is made that the School Board has to notify the City within 60 days prior to putting a site under contract. This allows the School Board to purchase and hold the property.

Motion on the floor carried 4-0.

5. RESOLUTION NO. 08-03

Support of Florida League of Cities legislative priorities.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF PALMETTO, FLORIDA, URGING MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING ISSUES DURING THE 2008 LEGISLATIVE SESSION.

MOTION: Mrs. Lancaster moved, Mr. Ball seconded and motion carried 4-0 to adopt Resolution No. 08-03, with the correct date of February 4, 2008.

6. MOVIE IN THE PARK SPONSORSHIP

A) Approval of Bright House Networks' 2008 sponsorship of Movie in the Park.

MOTION: Ms. Cornwell moved, Mrs. Lancaster seconded and motion carried 4-0 to approve the 2008 sponsorship of the Movie in the Park by Bright House Networks, in an amount of \$3,000.

B) Resolution No. 08-04 amends the budget to reflect the Movie in the Park sponsorship expense and revenue in the CRA budget.

RESOLUTION NO. 08-04

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF PALMETTO, FLORIDA, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 07-39, WHICH RESOLUTION ADOPTED THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008, AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

MOTION: Ms. Cornwell moved, Mrs. Lancaster seconded and motion carried 4-0 to adopt Resolution No. 08-04.

7. 1st READING & ADVERTISING APPROVAL – ORDINANCE NO. 08-959

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF PALMETTO, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 21, SECTION 21-8 OF THE CITY CODE OF ORDINANCES PERTAINING TO THE TEMPORARY USE OF MOBILE HOMES AND MANUFACTURED BUILDINGS AS SALES OFFICES OR ON ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION SITES; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

MOTION: Mrs. Lancaster moved, Mr. Ball seconded and motion carried 4-0 to approve Ordinance No. 08-959 for advertising and schedule a public hearing February 18, 2008.

8. DEPARTMENT HEADS' COMMENTS

Ms. Hall

No report

Chief Lowe

No report

Mr. Freeman

Informed Commission he is working with the property appraiser's office to assess the impact of Amendment 1. Preliminary estimates show a 6% impact. He explained that based on the assessed property values, the legislation allows the roll-back rate to actually be a roll-up rate (increase in millage rate) to collect the same amount of taxes collected. He explained the state mandated last year that the City had to go back to the 06-07 millage rate level plus an additional 9% reduction. It is not yet known if there will be any further mandates from the state. He will keep Commission informed of any information he receives.

Commented on the letter he has sent the county regarding the utility bills relating to Lincoln Park and the concession stand. He will keep Commission updated as receives information on the topic.

Mr. Lukowiak

Requested that the study on the parking garage/city center be put on hold. Commission concurred.

The first invoice to Manatee County for the Jackson Park stormwater project is being prepared. The first bill is for \$324,000; Manatee County will be asked to pay one-half. He stated they have budgeted only \$300,000, but he expects it to be \$425,000 for each entity.

Reported the quote for 7th Avenue is \$12,068. Reported a traffic study showed 100 cars per day use 7th Avenue vs. 5,000 per day for 10th Avenue. He discussed the projects and the costs between the two streets. Mr. Lukowiak was asked to bring the topic back to Commission, and include the scope for the four projects that were approved January 22, 2008.

Parks budgeted \$10,600 for utilities at the Historic Park, and estimates show the utilities will be close to \$20,000. The topic will be discussed at the monthly meeting with representatives from the Palmetto Historic Commission. Ms. Cornwell reminded Commission that when assistance to the Ag Museum was granted, it was not intended to be forever. Ms. Cornwell opined the county has not assisted with Lincoln Park and it should now contribute. Mr. Williams opined Manatee County is subsidizing an entire salary for the Palmetto Historic Commission. She suggested that at the least, a conservation program should be considered and implemented.

Mr. Schmitt

Informed Commission the trial period for the conditional use permit for the Riverside Plaza sign ends February 15, 2008. He reported he has received no complaints.

Mrs. Lukowiak

Reported that 416 of the 5,000 structures in the CRA district are valued at \$75,000 or less, the new homestead value. She estimates a reduction in revenue of \$130,000 - \$150,000.

Informed Commission the fair expenses came in \$2,000 under budget.

Emergency Management Preparedness Assistance program is accepting grant applications. She is working with police staff to also apply for a VOCA grant. Commission agreed to the grant applications.

Received funding for the fingerprint machine, but the cost has gone up \$5,000 since the award. The funding will pay for the machine but not all the education materials staff had planned to purchase with the grant funds.

9. MAYOR'S REPORT

A schedule is being prepared for the Commission, Mayor and Department Heads to meet with local mobile home parks.

Reported he and the Department Heads are trying to determine how the passage of Amendment 1 will affect the City. Staff is thinking about different ways to perform city jobs, i.e. how to more efficiently run the City while keeping the quality of life services. He stated that before beginning the budget process he would like to hold a well advertised meeting, under a well structured agenda, to receive suggestions from a broad spectrum of the public. Mr. Williams stated he would like the opportunity to discuss ideas at a workshop prior to any public meeting.

10. COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS

Mr. Ball

Stated he will be attending the Florida Fly-In legislative event in Washington, DC.

Ms. Cornwell

February 20, 2008 is the next Council of Governments meeting. She read excerpts from March 18, 2002 minutes which noted Ms. Stein supported the pool in exchange for the City's support of the library issue. Ms. Cornwell opined the pool is a safety issue, not a recreational issue. She requested the number of people north of the river, less Palmetto. She discussed the reasons cited by the county for not funding the pool, stating the dog parks, pavilions and "nickel and dime" projects are not comparable to the life of a child. She stated she would like to know if there is reason a pool can be financially supported, why it is not. Mayor Bustle stated the pool was eliminated from the county's CIP budget through 2012 because there are no operational dollars. Mayor Bustle stated a letter is forthcoming to Commission regarding a project currently being funded by the county CIP. Ms. Cornwell and Mrs. Ponder reviewed the history of the new library. Mrs. Lancaster and Ms. Cornwell recalled the meeting where Commissioner Stein encouraged support of the pool because the City had been so cooperative with the county regarding the new library in Palmetto.

Mr. Williams

Mrs. Lukowiak confirmed the CDC will provide a quarterly report on their business conducted in Palmetto.

Chief Lowe confirmed he does not know the cost of a National Accreditation.

Mr. Williams referred to the memo from Mr. Lukowiak regarding the sign tech position, stating the position is not in the budget. Mr. Lukowiak reminded Commission that during the budget process the position was empty, but Commission approved \$25,000 for training an individual to complete the position's duties. Mr. Lukowiak informed Commission he was proposing the individual be placed in the sign tech position at the minimum entry level, which was a grade 107, resulting in a \$3,793 pay increase. The individual has received training from Manatee County and the City of Bradenton, and will finish Level 1 and Level 2 certification (two 2-day courses) by the end of March. Debate ensued between Mr. Williams and Mr. Lukowiak about the appropriateness of funding a position that was not included in the budget. Mr. Lukowiak assured Commission that of the \$25,000 that was approved for training, \$5,000 will be used to fund the pay increase and complete training. He further stated the individual's current Service Worker 1 position will remain vacant. At the conclusion of the discussion, Mr. Williams requested Mr. Lukowiak to send Commission a memo that the Service Worker 1 position would be removed from the budget. Mr. Lukowiak agreed to do so.

Mr. Williams commented on the letter Mayor Bustle addressed to Manatee County concerning the renaming of 17th Street to Martin Luther King Boulevard. Mrs. Lancaster recalled her opinion of the discussion of the topic at the January 22, 2008. Mr. Williams relayed comments from the businesses along 17th Street regarding the change in signage. Mayor Bustle clarified that 17th Street would remain on the street sign together with Martin Luther King.

Mr. Williams suggested that "consensus" among Commission needs to be defined. Mayor Bustle stated that as long as there was not opposition voiced on a topic, he considered he had received consensus.

Mrs. Lancaster

Inquired if a sign can remain on a property where there is not structure. Staff will research the topic and advise Commission of her findings.

Inquired if the mobile home parks are the only place Town Hall meetings will be held, stating the meetings should be planned to include different areas so all the senior citizens in the City can attend, if they choose. Mayor Bustle stated the meetings can be held wherever Commission wishes. Ms. Cornwell requested plenty of advance notice once the schedule has been finalized.

Inquired if the Mayor has received any further information on what could be done at Groover's Market. She commented that if individuals cannot be prosecuted under the existing ordinance, someone should inquire what has to be done to correct it so it can be upheld. Attorney Hall informed Commission that at the Mayor's request, she is in the process of researching extra-territorial enforcement. Chief Lowe confirmed the patrol has increased in the area.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Minutes approved:

James R. Freeman
City Clerk